## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the **Application of Sprint** Communications Company L.P. for Informal Adjudication of Indirect Transfer of Control.

Docket No. 12-094-01

## **HEARING**

TAKEN AT: Heber M. Wells Building

160 East 300 South, Room 451 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

DATE: February 5, 2013

TIME: 9:00 a.m. to 9:12 a.m.

**REPORTED BY:** Michelle Mallonee, RPR

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	MELANIE A. REIF
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
5	FOR SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.:
6	THORVALD A. NELSON, ESQ.
7	HOLLAND & HART
8	6380 South Fiddlers Green Circle, Suite 500
9	Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111
10	
11	KRISTIN L. JACOBSON, ESQ.
12	(Appearing telephonically)
13	REGULATORY AFFAIRS WEST REGION
14	SPRINT
15	201 Mission Street, Suite 1500
16	San Francisco, California 94105
17	
18	FOR SOFTBANK AND STARBURST II:
19	MICHAEL H. PRYOR, ESQ.
20	(Appearing telephonically)
21	DOW LOHNES, PLLC
22	1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW, Suite 800
23	Washington, DC 20036-6802
24	
25	

	riearing 02/03/13	J
1	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:	
2	JUSTIN JETTER, ESQ.	
3	UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	
4	160 East 300 South, 5th Floor	
5	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	ricaring 02/00/	10
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	RON SLUSHER	
4	Examination by Mr. Jetter	9
5	Cross-Examination by the Court	10
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	(Judicial notice was taken of the foll	owing documents:)
9	DOCUMENT	PAGE
10	Application filed on December 27, 20	012 11
11	Mr. Nelson's Request to be Admitted	l Pro Hac
12	Vice,	11
13	Submitted to the Commission on Jar	nuary
14	23, 2013 Acknowledgment Docum	ent from
15	the Utah State Bar	11
16	Received on January 28, 2013	
17	Division's Memorandum filed on Dec	ember
18	17, 2012	12
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>Hearing</u>
2	February 5, 2013
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	THE COURT: Good morning. I'm Melanie Reif,
5	Administrative Law Judge for the Public Service Commission.
6	This is the hearing which has been duly noticed in Docket
7	12-094-01 entitled, "In the Matter of the Application of Sprint
8	Communications Company, L.P., for Informal Adjudication of
9	Indirect Transfer of Control."
10	Let's start by taking appearances.
11	MR. NELSON: Good morning, your Honor. Thank
12	you. Thor Nelson of the law firm Holland & Hart, appearing
13	today on behalf of Sprint Communications Company. And just
14	for the record, joining me by phone this morning are Kristin
15	Jacobson, who represents Sprint, and Michael Pryor, who
16	represents SoftBank.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nelson.
18	Mr. Jetter.
19	MR. JETTER: Justin Jetter, representing the
20	Division of Public Utilities. And with me is the Division of Public
21	Utilities' expert witness, Ron Slusher.
22	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
23	Mr. Nelson, this is your application. Would you like
24	to proceed?
25	MR. NELSON: Yes. Thank you, your Honor. I just

have a short statement to make. The materials that we provided in the application hopefully did an adequate job of explaining to yourself as well as the Division what's being contemplated here.

Just in brief, Sprint is a Utah competitive local exchange company. We provide local and long distance services, both on a wire line and on a wireless basis. Our parent company, Spring Nextel Corporation, is entering into a transaction whereby, through a complex series of affiliates, ultimately what's going to happen is that a majority share of Sprint Nextel will become owned through an affiliate by SoftBank Corporation. SoftBank is a telecommunications company that provides wireless and wireline services to customers in Japan.

As most of this transaction is occurring upstream of the operating entity that provides service currently to Utah customers, this transaction will be transparent to the citizens of Utah. And they will continue to receive the high quality service from Sprint that they have come to expect. However, this transaction is described more fully in the application. And in particular the FCC statement, public interest statement, will have substantial public interest benefits for the citizens of Utah.

Specifically, this transaction, if it is allowed to proceed, will allow Sprint to take advantage of an approximately \$8 billion capital infusion, which Sprint intends to use, among other things, to accelerate and expand broadband deployment in

Utah and throughout the United States.

This transaction will also allow for the combined corporate entities to take advantage of scale efficiencies. The transaction will also allow Sprint and its affiliates to take advantage of SoftBank's expertise as a leading wireless and mobile internet company and a proven innovator in the telecommunications marketplace.

As a result of these benefits and as customers in Utah, we believe, will experience these benefits should this transaction be allowed to proceed, Sprint respectfully requests that the Commission approve the indirect transfer of control that's described and set forth in the application now pending before your Honor.

As I mentioned earlier in my discussion, we do not intend to call any witnesses at this point in the proceeding, understanding, as we do, that there is no objection by any other party to the case. However, in case you had any questions of either Sprint or our partner in this transaction, SoftBank, Ms. Jacobson and Mr. Pryor are available by phone to answer any more detailed questions you might have about the transaction that's being proposed or the two parties to the transaction. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nelson.

Mr. Jetter, do you have any questions of the

applicant?

1	MR. JETTER: I have no questions.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I, too, have no
3	questions.
4	So Mr. Jetter, you may proceed.
5	MR. JETTER: Thank you, your Honor. The
6	Division would like to swear in our witness, Ron Slusher.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Slusher, do you swear that the
8	testimony you are about to give is the truth?
9	THE WITNESS: I do.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed.
11	RON SLUSHER, having been first duly sworn, was
12	examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY-MR.JETTER:
15	Q. Mr. Slusher, could you briefly state your position for
16	the record.
17	A. Yeah. My name is Ron Slusher, last name
18	S-L-U-S-H-E-R. I am a utility technical consultant for the
19	Division of Public Utilities.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Slusher, I'm going to interrupt
21	you just one moment. Could you make sure your microphone is
22	on and is actually maybe a little bit closer. I'm not sure that the
23	people on the phone can pick this up. Thank you.
24	BY MR. JETTER:
25	Q. Mr. Slusher, have you reviewed the joint application

1	proposed i	n this docket?
2	A.	I have.
3	Q.	And have you reached a recommendation?
4	A.	Yes. The Division, after reviewing the application,
5	has decide	d that it would be in the public interest for the
6	companies	to be combined.
7	Q.	Okay. And did you file a memorandum in this
8	case?	
9	A.	I did, on December 14 of 2012.
10	Q.	And does that memorandum still accurately reflect
11	the positio	n of the Division of Public Utilities?
12	A.	It is.
13	Q.	And just to make sure we get this totally on the
14	record, do	you believe that approval of this application would
15	result in ra	tes and service that are just, reasonable, and in the
16	public inte	rest?
17	A.	Yes, we do.
18	Q.	Thank you. I have no further questions.
19		THE COURT: Thank you.
20		Any questions, Mr. Nelson?
21		MR. NELSON: No, thank you.
22		THE COURT: Mr. Slusher, I just have a couple of
23	questions	for you, please.
24	CRO	SS-EXAMINATION
25	BY-T	HE COURT:

1	Q. In reviewing your response, in some circumstances,
2	there's not an affidavit that's attached. I just wanted to ask you
3	a couple of questions so we could get those issues resolved,
4	since there is not an affidavit attached.
5	Is it your understanding that there is no opposition
6	to this application and there is not likely to be any opposition to
7	this application?
8	A. That is correct. I have not seen or heard from any
9	intervenors.
10	Q. Okay. And as such, would it be your suggestion
11	that the Commission proceed on an informal basis reviewing this
12	application?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Thank you very much. That's all I have.
15	THE COURT: Just a couple of things. I do want to
16	take administrative notice of the application, and do take
17	administrative notice of the application that was filed on
18	December 27, 2012. That application is received, as well as Mr.
19	Nelson's request to be admitted pro hac vice, which was
20	submitted to the Commission on January 23, 2013, along with
21	an acknowledgment document from the Utah State Bar received
22	on January 28, 2013.
23	(The aforementioned documents were received into evidence.)
24	THE COURT: The motion that was filed has been

granted. It was granted on the 24th. So, Mr. Nelson, you can

25

1	be assured that your appearance today is on full authority.
2	MR. NELSON: That's excellent. Always pleased
3	not to be violating Utah rules of attorney conduct.
4	THE COURT: And thank you for going to such very
5	thorough efforts in making sure that all of this was taken care of
6	advance. We really appreciate that.
7	MR. NELSON: My pleasure.
8	THE COURT: I wish also to take notice of the
9	Division's memorandum, which was filed on December 17 with
10	the Commission, December 17, 2012. And those are all part of
11	the record in this case.
12	(The Division's memorandum was received into evidence.)
13	THE COURT: And is there anyone here who wishes
14	to object to the application?
15	Hearing no objection, and having no objection filed,
16	I wish to note that under Rule 746-349-7, the application is
17	presumed it is presumed by the Commission that approval of
18	the transaction is in the public interest. And an order will be
19	produced.
20	So we'll be adjourned, unless there are any
21	questions.
22	MR. NELSON: No. Thank you very much, your
23	Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you very much for being here.
25	MR. JETTER: Thank you.

	nearing 02/05/13	12
1	(The matter concluded at 9:12 a.m.)	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	3
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of Utah )
4	SS.
5	County of Salt Lake )
6	
7	I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional
8	Reporter in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:
9	That the proceedings of said matter was reported
10	by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten
11	form;
12	That the same constitutes a true and correct
13	transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed;
14	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
15	associated with any of the parties of said cause of action, and
16	that I am not interested in the event thereof.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Mallonee, RPR, CSR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	